

Proof of Differentiation Under the Integral Sign

Richard Chapling

v1.1 6 November 2018

Our main aim in this handout is to prove the following result:

Theorem 1 (Differentiation under the integral sign, variable limits). *Let $f: [a, b] \times (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, and that $\partial_2 f(\cdot, t)$ exists and is continuous. Suppose also that $\alpha, \beta: (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon) \rightarrow [a, b]$ are differentiable with continuous derivatives. For $\tau \in (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$, define*

$$I(\tau) = \int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(\tau)} f(x, \tau) dx. \quad (1)$$

Then

$$I'(t) = \beta'(t)f(\beta(t), t) - \alpha'(t)f(\alpha(t), t) + \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} \partial_2 f(x, t) dt. \quad (2)$$

Remark 2. Everything we do in the theoretical section of this handout works equally well for one-sided limits and derivatives without any substantial changes; one replaces $(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$ by $[0, t + \varepsilon)$, $h \rightarrow 0$ by $h \downarrow 0$, and so on.

1 The easy bits

We first divide $I(\tau)$ into a number of integrals for which the derivatives are easier to evaluate:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tau) &= \int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(\tau)} f(x, \tau) dx \\ &= \int_{\beta(t)}^{\beta(\tau)} f(x, \tau) dx - \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\tau)} f(x, \tau) dx + \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} f(x, \tau) dx \\ &= \int_{\beta(t)}^{\beta(\tau)} f(x, t) dx - \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\tau)} f(x, t) dx + \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} f(x, \tau) dx + \int_{\beta(t)}^{\alpha(\tau)} (f(x, \tau) - f(x, t)) dx - \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\tau)} (f(x, \tau) - f(x, t)) dx \\ &=: I_1(\tau) - I_2(\tau) + I_3(\tau) - I_4(\tau) + I_5(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Now we do the easy cases, which we tackle in two lemmata:

Lemma 3 ($I_1'(t)$ and $I_2'(t)$). *We have*

$$I_1'(t) = \alpha'(t)f(\alpha(t), t), \quad I_2'(t) = \beta'(t)f(\beta(t), t).$$

Proof. Because α is differentiable and $f(\cdot, t)$ is continuous, this follows immediately from the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the chain rule. \square

Lemma 4 ($I_4'(t)$ and $I_5'(t)$). *We have $I_4'(t) = I_5'(t) = 0$.*

Proof. We shall consider I_4 , since the proof for I_5 is identical in form. Since $I_4(t) = 0$, we need to show that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_4(t+h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(t+h)} \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} dx = 0.$$

We have the bound

$$\left| \int_A^B g \right| \leq \left| \int_A^B |g| \right| \leq |B - A| \sup_{x \text{ between } A \text{ and } B} |g|,$$

which follows straight from the definition of the integral, so we have

$$\int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(t+h)} \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} dx \leq |\alpha(t+h) - \alpha(t)| \sup_{x \text{ between } \alpha(t) \text{ and } \alpha(t+h)} \left| \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} \right|.$$

The first term converges to 0 as $h \rightarrow 0$ since α is differentiable at t , and the second is bounded as $h \rightarrow 0$ since f is continuous and differentiable at t . So $|I_4(t+h)/h| \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, and therefore $I_4'(t) = 0$. \square

Hence we are reduced to understanding $I_3(t)$. This is the hard bit.

2 The difficult bit

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we need to show

Theorem 5 (Differentiation under the integral sign, constant limits). *Let $f: [a, b] \times (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$ be a continuous function, and that $\partial_2 f(\cdot, t)$ exists and is continuous. Define $F: (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$F(\tau) = \int_a^b f(x, \tau) dx.$$

Then F is differentiable at t , with

$$F'(t) = \int_a^b \partial_2 f(x, t) dx.$$

Notice that the meaning of a and b is slightly different from in the original theorem. This is simply notation, rather than mathematically significant.

However we prove this theorem, we will at some point need the following:

Proposition 6 (Continuity under the integral sign). *Let $g: [a, b] \times (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then $G(\tau) := \int_a^b g(x, \tau) dx$ is continuous at t .*

Proof. Alas, we shall proceed by contradiction. We wish to show that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b (g(x, t+h) - g(x, t)) dx = 0$$

We write this integral as $J(h)$ for brevity. Supposing instead that $J(h) \not\rightarrow 0$, there is a “bad η ” and a sequence $(h_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ so that $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $|J(h_n)| > \eta$ for every n .

For each n , there must be a point $x_n \in (a, b)$ so that $|g(x_n, t+h_n) - g(x_n, t)| > \eta/(b-a)$ (or by the trivial bound $|\int_a^b f| \leq (b-a) \sup_{[a,b]} |f|$, we would have $|J(h_n)| \leq \eta$).

By Bolzano–Weierstrass, there is a point $X \in [a, b]$ and a subsequence $(x_{n(k)})_{k=0}^\infty$ so that $x_{n(k)} \rightarrow X$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since g is continuous, we have $g(x_{n(k)}, t+h_{n(k)}) \rightarrow g(X, t)$ and $g(x_{n(k)}, t) \rightarrow g(X, t)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For all k ,

$$\frac{\eta}{b-a} < |g(x_{n(k)}, t+h_{n(k)}) - g(x_{n(k)}, t)| \leq |g(x_{n(k)}, t+h_{n(k)}) - g(X, t)| + |g(X, t) - g(x_{n(k)}, t)|.$$

But we just noted that the two terms on the right converge to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which gives a contradiction. Therefore we must have $J(h) \rightarrow 0$, and the result follows. \square

This proof is quite similar to the ANALYSIS I proof that continuous functions are integrable. Both are more naturally done using uniform continuity, covered in ANALYSIS II.¹ We required the interval to be compact to use Bolzano–Weierstrass.

This type of proof is surprisingly difficult to nail down: it took the author several attempts to produce a legitimate version. Having done so, however, it makes the theorem quite simple to prove:

Proof of Theorem. By definition,

$$F'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_a^b f(x, t+h) dx - \int_a^b f(x, t) dx \right) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} dx,$$

and we would like to show that this is $\int_a^b \partial_2 f(x, t) dx$.

Since f is continuous in both arguments and differentiable at t in the second, the function $g: [a, b] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g(x, h) = \begin{cases} (f(x, \tau) - f(x, t))/h & h \neq 0 \\ \partial_2 f(x, t) & h = 0 \end{cases}$$

is continuous. We can now apply Proposition 6 to conclude that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b (f(x, t+h) - f(x, t))/h dx = \int_a^b \partial_2 f(x, t) dx$, as required. \square

It follows immediately that

$$I_3'(t) = \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} \partial_2 f(x, t) dx.$$

¹Weſt Bromwich Albion: Nil.²

²No, I have no idea what this one means either. Something to do with foot-ball?

3 Advertisement: More general results

The best possible result for the (proper) Riemann integral is probably the following:

Theorem 7 (Differentiation under the integral sign for integrable functions). *Let $f: [a, b] \times (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that*

- $f(\cdot, \tau)$ is integrable for each $\tau \in (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$,
- $\partial_2 f(x, t)$ exists for each $x \in [a, b]$ and $\partial_2 f(\cdot, t)$ is integrable,
- There is K so that $\left| (f(x, \tau) - f(x, t)) / (\tau - t) \right| \leq K$ for $0 < |\tau - t| < \varepsilon$.

Then

$$F(\tau) := \int_a^b f(x, \tau) dx$$

has derivative

$$F'(t) = \int_a^b \partial_2 f(x, t) dx.$$

These are in some sense the weakest possible conditions we could ask for on f for the derivatives on both sides of to make sense. The proof works in a similar way to Theorem 5, but showing that the integral is continuous is rather more difficult, so we shall not do so here.

A far more powerful result is available for the Lebesgue integral:

Theorem 8 (Differentiation under the integral sign for the Lebesgue integral). *Let E be a measurable subset³ of \mathbb{R} and $f: E \times (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that*

- $f(\cdot, \tau)$ is Lebesgue-integrable on E for each $\tau \in (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$,
- $\partial_2 f(x, t)$ exists for each $x \in E$,
- $\left| (f(x, \tau) - f(x, t)) / (\tau - t) \right| \leq g$ is uniformly bounded by some Lebesgue-integrable g with $\int_E g < \infty$ for $0 < |\tau - t| < \varepsilon$.

Then

$$F(\tau) := \int_E f(x, \tau) dx$$

has derivative

$$F'(t) = \int_E \partial_2 f(x, t) dx.$$

Notice that we do not have to assume that $\partial_2 f(\cdot, t)$ is Lebesgue-integrable: that comes for free in Lebesgue's theory!

Remark 9. To include variable limits in either of these, one has to also ask that f is continuous in its first argument at $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ (one still has to use some version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (DI)), which makes for slightly messier hypotheses.

4 $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$

One of the most common applications of differentiation under the integral sign is to prove that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

by considering the function

$$I(\lambda, \alpha) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha x} \sin \lambda x / x dx.$$

However, none of the results we have discussed so far are good enough for $I(\lambda, \alpha)$, which is not Lebesgue-integrable when $\alpha = 0$. It must instead be treated by ad-hoc methods. We suppose that $\lambda > 0$, since if $\lambda < 0$ we can just use $\sin(-y) = -\sin y$.

Our result *does* apply to

$$I(\lambda, \alpha, X) := \int_0^X e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\sin \lambda x}{x} dx.$$

³Suffice to say for the time being that these are the "right" subsets of \mathbb{R} on which to integrate. Examples include \mathbb{R} , any interval, and any countable union of intervals, which is plenty to be getting on with.

We obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \int_0^X e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\sin \lambda x}{x} dx = \int_0^X e^{-\alpha x} \cos \lambda x dx = \dots = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2 + \alpha^2} + e^{-\alpha X} \frac{-\alpha \cos \lambda X + \lambda \sin \lambda X}{\lambda^2 + \alpha^2}.$$

Also, the integrand is continuous for $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$ and $I(0, \alpha, X) = 0$, so integrating,

$$I(\lambda, \alpha, X) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right) + e^{-\alpha X} \int_0^X \frac{-\alpha \cos yX + y \sin yX}{y^2 + \alpha^2} dy.$$

This concludes the differentiation part of the argument; we have completely avoided the improper integral so far. To return to $I(\lambda, \alpha)$, we take $X \rightarrow \infty$. The second term is bounded above by $e^{-\alpha X} (\log \sqrt{1 + (\lambda/\alpha)^2} + \arctan(\lambda/\alpha)) \rightarrow 0$, so we have

$$I(\lambda, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\sin \lambda x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right).$$

It remains to show that $I(\lambda, 0) = \int_0^\infty \sin \lambda x/x dx$ exists, and that $I(\lambda, \alpha) \rightarrow I(\lambda, 0)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. A change of variables shows that the value of λ is no longer relevant, in that $I(\lambda, \alpha) = I(1, \alpha/\lambda)$, so we now take $\lambda = 1$.

Firstly, putting $\alpha = 0$ gives⁴

$$I(1, 0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} dx,$$

which is nonnegative since the integrand is. Since $1 - \cos x < x^2/2$ and $1 - \cos x < 2$, we can split the integral at 1, and find that

$$I(1, 0) = \int_0^1 \frac{x^2}{2x^2} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2},$$

both of which converge, so $I(1, 0)$ converges.

It remains to show that $I(1, \alpha) \rightarrow I(1, 0)$. Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(1, \alpha, X) &= \left[\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha x}}{x} (1 - \cos x) \right]_0^X + \int_0^X \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha x}}{x^2} - \frac{\alpha e^{-\alpha x}}{x} \right) (1 - \cos x) dx \\ &= \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha X}}{X} (1 - \cos X) + \int_0^X (1 - e^{-\alpha x}) \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} dx - \alpha \int_0^X e^{-\alpha x} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit as $X \rightarrow \infty$,

$$I(\lambda, \alpha, X) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\alpha x}) \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} dx - \alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha x} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x} dx.$$

Both of these integrals are positive. We now find upper bounds to which we can apply the comparison test.

We can divide the first integral at α^k , whence we obtain

$$\int_0^{\alpha^k} (1 - e^{-\alpha x}) \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} dx + \int_{\alpha^k}^\infty (1 - e^{-\alpha x}) \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} dx.$$

The first of these is bounded above by

$$\alpha \int_0^{\alpha^k} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x} dx < \alpha \alpha^k M,$$

since $(1 - \cos x)/x$ is bounded above by M , say. This converges to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ provided that $k + 1 > 0$. In the second, the numerator is bounded above by 1, so the integral is bounded above by

$$\int_{\alpha^k}^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2} = \alpha^{-k},$$

which converges to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ provided that $k < 0$.

The final integral can be dealt with using an exceptionally crude bound: one can check that for $x > 0$, $1 - \cos x < 2\sqrt{x}$. Hence

$$\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha x} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x} dx < 2\alpha \int_0^\infty x^{-1/2} e^{-\alpha x} dx = 2\alpha^{1/2} \int_0^\infty u^{-1/2} e^{-u} du,$$

putting $u = x/\alpha$. It is easy to check by examining $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$ that the latter integral converges, so this also converges to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Hence we have shown that $I(1, \alpha) \rightarrow I(1, 0)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, as required. It follows that

$$I(1, 0) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} I(1, \alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

⁴Those suspicious that something has been swept under the rug here are encouraged to carry out the integration by parts for $\alpha = 0$ themselves.